Unity is strength, L'union fait la force, La union hace la fuerza, Η συμπάθεια είναι δύναμη, اتحاد قدرة. Amian kēla sī mūzh, Jedność jest siła, yksys on kesto, H sympatia einai dynami, Midnimo iyo waa awood, hundeb ydy chryfder, Einheit ist Stärke, Военноединство прочность, единстве наша сила, vienybės jėga, bashkimi ben fuqine, unità è la resistenza, 団結は力だ, A união faz a força, eining er styrkur, De eenheid is de sterkte, الوحدة هو القوة, Ni nearg chur le céile, pagkakaisa ay kalakasan, jednota je sila, 일성은 이다 힘 힘, Workers of the World Unite!
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Sub...
Editorial: Tory Britain’s Hostile Environment is killing the disabled and unemployed whilst the Labour Lieutenants of Capital play parliamentary games

Philip Aston, the UN’s rapporteur into extreme poverty and human rights produced a truly shocking condemnation of the reign of terror now waged by the Tory government against the poorest and most oppressed in Britain. One of the key features of Universal Credit involves the imposition of draconian sanctions, even for infringements that seem minor. Endless anecdotal evidence was presented to the Special Rapporteur to illustrate the harsh and arbitrary nature of some of the sanctions, as well as the devastating effects that resulted from being completely shut out of the benefits system for weeks or months at a time. As the system grows older, some penalties will soon be measured in years.

Those who have seen I, Daniel Blake and listened to the lying denials from Amber Rudd and the Tories should know what life is like for the poorest on benefits in Britain 2019. And it will get worse as a result of the Hostile Environment forced on the DWP but welcomed by the most ruthless practitioner of this regime. It is often a death sentence; the government’s own statistics tell us.

DWP, August 2015, Mortality Statistics: Employment and Support Allowance, incapacity Benefit or Severe Disablement Allowance: “The information requested for the number of IB/SDA and ESA claimants who have died is shown in table 2.1. Please note that these figures show those whose benefit claim ended as a result of their death. Table 2.1 Total number of individuals who have flowed off (Died-SF) IB/SDA and ESA and whose date of death was at the same time, Great Britain: December 2011 to February 2014, Total number of IB/SDA and ESA off-flows with date of death at the same time: 81,140, of which: ESA 50,580, IB/SDA 30,560.”

So, 81,140 people died whilst on benefits in 2 years and 3 months, not all but surely a big portion driven to suicide or stress-related fatal heart attacks etc. And some from outright starvation, or ‘malnutrition’ as it is poetically called, as a result of benefit cuts and sanctions according to official government documents.

The Public and Commercial Services Union (PCS) say: “UC is a cruel regime that has the capacity to inflict untold misery on society. Over 70% of respondents to the survey of 554 PCS staff launched in February 2018 said that the rollout of UC should be stopped. There will not be enough staff to deliver UC, as currently designed, safely. PCS agrees with the views of its members and believes that the rollout should be stopped until a fairer, fully staffed Social Security System is developed.”

PCS General Secretary Mark Serwotka has said about Universal Credit: “Universal Credit remains a disaster because it is driven by the Tories’ political choice to cut public spending and to denigrate people who rely on social security support. The misery being inflicted by the government’s mishandling of this disastrous programme must be stopped and the full roll-out should be suspended immediately. The government’s arrogant refusal to listen to its own staff, experts, charities, those affected and even its own MPs shows their aim is not to help people but to cynically cut support from those who need it most. A review of the entire project – from its impact on claimants to systemic failings, IT problems and pressures on staff – is needed before any decision is made as to whether it is fit to continue.”

But where is the industrial action, where is the mobilisation of members, where is any attempt to stop this happening from the PCS with the Socialist Party bogus revolutionary Trotskyist-led Executive which makes no difference whatsoever to actual practice apart from a few leftist-sounding speeches like Serwotka’s above?

Heart-breaking suicides are regularly reported, outsourced firms like Capita commit outrages like that case of Frances McCormack feature here, or that terrible letter in the next page, but only words of condemnation are issued.

Until the mass organisations of the class in the trade unions and the Labour party defend these most vulnerable of their class consciousness will not rise to face the English nationalism and immigrant hounding which is now advancing so strongly because of Brexit.

It is the same battles as that against the gig economy, the zero hours contracts, the entire precarious neo-liberal modern capitalist economy, which is approximately the same in all countries. And we must fight it not against only the Tories but the entire capitalist system which is defended so ably by the labour Lieutenants of capital in the trade union bureaucracy and the Labour party.

What an appalling debacle was Labour’s stance on the Immigration Bill at the end of January, a one line whip, with the intention to abstain, pandering to the racist bigots of Ukip by not opposing the Bill completely. As The Spectator reported:

Labour’s Immigration Bill stance shows how much Jeremy Corbyn has changed:

This is still an interesting move from Labour’s frontbench. The Jeremy Corbyn approach was once to paint in primary colours, opposing bills with anything remotely unpalatable in them as soon as the opportunity arose. Indeed, one of the things that ignited Corbyn’s first leadership campaign in 2015 was the decision of the party’s interim leader Harriet Harman to abstain on the second reading of the Welfare Reform Bill. Her rationale was that Labour had just emerged from a general election campaign in which voters told it repeatedly that it wasn’t good with money and couldn’t be trusted on welfare, so the party could not appear to oppose reform. The party couldn’t support certain measures, so it would seek changes in the ensuring stages of the Bill’s passage through Parliament.

So Jeremy Corbyn has done a Harriet Harman on the Labour movement. But we mustn’t oppose lest the Tories benefit.

The Kilburn Unemployed Workers Group picket of the Department of Works and Pensions HQ against vicious sanctioning and Universal Credit is between 12 noon and 1 pm on the first Friday of every month against Universal Credit, Caxton House, Tothill St, London SW1H 9NA, Nearest tube St James Park. Please attend with your banners and placards.

Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!
The Benefits Aware website explains the sanctions regime of the Department of Works and Pensions. The appalling hostility to the most oppressed emanating for every line would have made Adolph Hitler proud.

**Benefits Aware**

The rules about sanctions under Universal Credit mean that there will be more people who will be sanctioned than the previous benefits system. In fact, evidence is suggesting that the rate of sanctions under Universal Credit is three times that of JSA. It is possible to be sanctioned even if you are in paid work.

**Universal Credit Sanctions**

It should also be noted that Hardship Payments are paid as loans and will have to be repaid at the end of the sanction.

The rules for the level of Universal Credit sanctions are based on the rules for JSA and ESA sanctions. Anyone who receives Universal Credit can be sanctioned and the level of the sanction depends upon the conditionality group that you are placed in. More information about the conditionality groups can be found in the article Your Responsibilities if you get Universal Credit.

**Highest Level Sanctions**

Highest level sanctions can only be applied to people who are placed in the full conditionality group.

You can be sanctioned if:

- You lose your job through misconduct
- You leave work voluntarily
- You fail to do mandatory work activity
- You fail to apply for or to accept paid work
- You lose pay if you are in paid work (without a good reason)

The last of the above is a new reason for being sanctioned which has been introduced under Universal Credit.

There are three fixed increasing sanctions:

- 13 weeks for the first failure
- 26 weeks for the second failure within 52 weeks of the previous failure
- 3 years for the third failure within 52 weeks of the most recent failure (please note this is not the date of the decision)

**Medium Level Sanctions**

Medium level sanctions apply to people who are in the full conditionality group. You can be sanctioned if:

- You fail to be available for work
- You fail to take all the actions expected to get paid work

The fixed sanction periods are:

- 4 weeks for the first failure
- 13 weeks for the second failure and any more failures after this

How to avoid being sanctioned

You can avoid being sanctioned by meeting all of the conditions in your claimant commitment. This may be difficult if the claimant commitment does not take into account all of your problems.

**What to do if you are sanctioned**

If you have a Universal Credit sanction it is important that the decision is challenged through a Mandatory Reconsideration as quickly as possible. You will need to argue that you have good cause for the action which you took. If you have no other income you will need to ask for Hardship Payments during your sanction. You may also need to be referred to a Foodbank.

**Rate of sanction**

The rate of the sanction will be 100% of your standard Universal Credit Allowance for a single person or for a couple where one person only has been sanctioned it will be 50% of the couple personal allowance. The rate of the sanction will be reduced to 40% of this amount if:

- You are aged 16 or 17
- You are the carer of a child under one
- You are pregnant and have less than 11 weeks before the baby is due
- You have had a baby in the last 15 weeks (including if the baby was stillborn)
- You have had an adopted child placed with you in the last 52 weeks

The rate of the sanction is reduced to nil if you have no work related requirements in your claimant commitment because you have limited capability for work and for work related activity.

**Financial appeal to the labour and trade union movement**

Dear Brothers and Sisters,

The Kilburn Unemployed Workers Group has fought for the unemployed, disabled and all victims of this system since 2010. Former DWP minister Esther Mcvey sparked outrage online after she said she was part of a “compassionate Conservative government” and current minister Amber Rudd, although forced into a partial and inadequate climbdown on the Universal Credit (UC) rollout time, has “sneaked out”, under cover of the Brexit debate, cuts in benefits available to some older ‘mixed age’ couples by up to £7,320 a year that will force them to claim UC as a couple. Age UK described the change as a “substantial stealth cut” and said it could have a devastating effect on the health and wellbeing of some older people and increase the numbers of pensioners in poverty.

The KUWG has expenses of over £1,000 per PA for rent and office space, several hundred for printing costs and increasing calls on our hardship funds for rent and office space, several hundred for print costs.

The KUWG has expenses of over £1,000 per PA for rent and office space, several hundred for printing costs and increasing calls on our hardship funds for rent and office space, several hundred for printing costs and increasing calls on our hardship funds.

We are also a referral agency for the foodbanks. We incur substantial caseworker costs and travel expenses to protests and pickets for those with no spare cash at all. We are in desperate need of a part time admin worker, not least to deal with the increasingly complex claimant forms. Please donate as much as your organisation can afford to enable us to continue this vital work, the last lifeline for many of the most oppressed in many cases.

Make cheques payable to the Kilburn Unemployed Workers Group and send to The Kingsgate Centre, 107 Kingsgate Road, Kilburn, NW6 3JH You can also donate by going to KUWG Blog http://kilburnunemployed.blogspot.com/, and pressing Pay Pal Donate Button and entering donation amount.
This movement is a spontaneous response from the most exploited sections of the French population to 40 years of bosses’ offensive through the policies put in place by all governments. This has been going on since the third year of Mitterrand’s first term in office (1984), it speeded up and got much worse under Sarkozy, Hollande, Macron to the present day.

This mass movement which has developed throughout the country has highlighted the complete bankruptcy of the left, i.e. the remains of the Socialist Party (SP), the Communist Party (PCF) as a whole, including dissident groups, France Insoumise of Jean-Luc Mélenchon in large part, the Nouveau Parti Anticapitaliste (NPA – created after the dissolution of the former section of the United Secretariat of the Fourth International in a “broad party”), Lutte Ouvrière (PO), and the pro-Brexit/Frexit Parti Ouvrier Independent Democratique (POID), a split from the Lambertist POI a few years ago, led by former Lambertist leader Daniel Gluckstein.

These latter two have maintained a position of support for the Gilets Jaunes (GJ) movement but without being able to do the full political work that is necessary. The POI-POID are strongly Brexit/Frexit and worked throughout the country.

The POI have now begun to work in the Confederation Générale du Travail (CGT), which was once dominated by the PCF. Both Lambertist groups are ‘sovereigntist’, i.e. nationalistic, like the PCF. They were traditionally Stalinophobic but are now moving closer ideologically to the PCF on Brexit at least.

Also active are the small Marxist Internationals (GMI) ex-Lambertists which leads the international grouping COREP (Collectif Rvolution Permanente, formerly linked to Socialist Fight) and Rvolution Permanente (associated with the Trotskyist Fraction – Fourth International, and the US Left Voice.

The real basis of the movement are the crying needs of large segments of the population impoverished by capitalist politics. There are 9 million poor people in France and the working class, petty bourgeoisie and even small entrepreneurs are suffering the weight of the capitalist crisis, often from ever-increasing taxes.

The conciliatory activity, of compromise, of “dialogue”, of objective betrayal of recent demands and workers’ struggles by trade unions and left-wing parties, has created a feeling of deep mistrust of trade union leaders and by extension of the trade unions themselves by a growing number of workers and proletarians.

The absurd sectarian centrism and the opportunism observed by the most known extreme left parties, has made that certain minority layers among the most backward of the proletariat pass to an extreme right-wing vote as the only form of protest against the capitalist policies they are subjected to.

The majority of proletarians either continue to vote for left-wing parties or seek alternatives such as France Insoumise, which does not propose to change the system but to improve it, or, more often than not, abstain massively allowing the election of bankers’ and capitalists’ candidates thereby giving the opportunity for open enemies of the working classes like Marine Le Pen to be elected.

The complete bankruptcy of the French Communist Party and its relative loss of political influence is accompanied by a total lack of circulation of the Marxist ideas, for more than 25 years now, although they had been concerned with them for a long time. It newspaper from 1920, L’Humanité, founded by Jean Jaurès in 1904 is going bankrupt – section of the right are trying to save it, thereby acknowledging its long service to capitalism.

However, it remains a workers’ party because of the number of its militants, but it has not been very active for a long time but has a popular respect of long standing.

The fall of the USSR and the transition to capitalism in China and bourgeois anti-communist propaganda campaigns, which have been very little countered, because intellectuals have all moved rightward to capitalism, and this has created an ideological and political vacuum that the far left has been unable to fill.

Marxism, Socialism, Communism have only been discussed and circulated (and on what forms?) in narrow circles, far from the masses, for years. The only ones who propagate it to the masses (LO and POI-POID) or, very briefly address the most backward sectors of the class or do so through poorly read newspapers.

This policy of addressing the lowest level of understanding is assumed and even claimed. The most advanced sectors of the class must be satisfied with what they already know through the press or at most with simple explanations.

If they decide to go further, they can find some reviews but no real polemics, no ideological work, and remain at the level of what they can glean by themselves or submit to a corporal “discipline” that stifles any democratic discussion and leads them through trade unionism to centrist and sectarian political positions.

Faced with such a situation, the right and the far right find themselves in front of a political boulevard, almost without opposition after the “socialist” government of Hollande which has put the prestige of the left and its party, the Socialist Party, lower than the ground. But both the right and the far right are still rejected by a significant mass of the people who are wary of them because they know that this will still mean serious attacks on liberties and their living and working conditions.

These conditions, therefore, have allowed lobbyists and capitalists councils of administrations plus some unscrupulous intellectuals to work out a plan to put in place draconian measures, in order to align French capitalism with its foreign competitors. This plan, which was the same as all the candidates of the right have, either Juppé or Fillon or even Marine Le Pen but under a disguise, will be put into practice by Macron, a former minister of Hollande, a former employee of the Rothschild bank, who will be elected President of the Republic.

This plan he will put it in place brutally and with an arrogance that will quickly make him hated by the population. This aspect, his arrogance and his contempt for workers and the people explains why the first demand of the Yellow Vests will be his resignation.

The last straw that breaks the camel’s back, which triggered the movement, was a new fuel increase, an old technique of every government: to tax the population (taxes represent more than two thirds of the price of fuel). A self-employed woman published a call on the Web which, in a few days, was answered by more than 800,000 people and will exceed one million in a short time. This issue of rising fuel costs was mainly against the interest of workers who travel to work, but also to small companies, even large ones if they are truckers or taxis or transporters.

Over time, there is an inevitable polarization within the movement that responds to the hidden but latent politicization that exists because of the presence of various political
The government has tried various tactics to defuse the movement. So, it has promised a lot and through some minimal concessions in the firsts weeks, and then … a brutal repression having nothing other but a ‘referendum’ to propose. This recently as a maneuver and a trap.  

The clashes in Paris were provoked by whoever they were. Certainly not by the Yellow Vests, which, for the most part, only seek to make their movement “visible”. The press has greatly exaggerated the extent of the fighting and damage. On 1 December, there were only a few pallet fires and a lot of tear gas on site. Nothing more.  

Afterwards, two Saturdays later, there was a change. Then, according to reliable source reports, it was more the activity of provocateurs, also unconscious people who came only to the fight and extreme right-wing militants. Some of them will be expelled from the demonstrations but as the movement generally remains unorganized, they come back, to do the same.  

But, a social movement, for some even a ‘revolution’, which is far from reality, cannot survive without moving forward and constantly progressing. This requires a solid organization and a sure direction and among the GJs this is under construction but very slowly. The most militant have tried to converge with factory workers and others, but it can also become a “first-class funeral”.  

Tuesday, February 5 there will be a demonstration and “Renewable Strike” called by the CGT and supported and by the “Assembly of Yellow Vests Assemblies” which also called for a “General Strike” (which is different but much riskier because the GJs are not in the works). Several GJs think that the CGT wants to “show” themselves in front of the procession and the Gilets Jaunes followed by some unionists from the base, launched towards the city center to invest it with their own slogans, singing the Partisans’ anthem and shouting “the streets are ours!” and the traditional “Macon resign!” We have ‘robbed’ the demo from CGTs hands. That will surely be in the future the way the Union bosses could be dispossessed of the masses they mislead.  

Behind, in the full sense of the word, remained both the leadership of the demonstration and the most organized parties. LO had come in force with slogans, newspaper sales activists, the presence of more than sixty grouped militants and others in the procession, the NPA with a big flag, the POI in the middle of the Regional Force Ouvrière union which does not follow the national slogan of FO leaders not to go to the demo. Needless to say, none of these ‘revolutionary’ parties followed Yellow Vests that pass through the authorization of the Prefecture.

News from other cities, shows exactly the same trend, even if Lyon is not characterized, and never has been characterized for its revolutionary or combative spirit. So, if this was possible in Lyon, it may well happen again elsewhere.

The Yellow Vests have become anti-trade unionists precisely because they are fed up with walks without a tomorrow and the fact that they have gone beyond the limits set by the CGT’s leaders is not only a proof of their determination; it has not only taught honest unionists precisely because they are fed up with walks without a tomorrow and the fact that they have gone beyond the limits set by the CGT’s leaders is not only a proof of their determination; it has not only taught honest unionists fighting lesson but also shows that the Yellow Vests movement is indeed the beginning of a new wave that points like a small distant clearing but a clear- ing anyway.

“A spark can set fire to the prairie” because of the dry straw there are tons accumulated and a lot of anger held back among the people. I do not know if this will be the case, I do not know either if this CGT-Yellow Vests demo will be only a straw fire, all I know is that without this movement of Yellow Vests, despite all its flaws and drawbacks, it has put the bourgeoisie in great difficulty.

For Macron, its servant of the moment, it has highlighted to the masses the true nature of the left and of the Union bureaucracy, that this movement means hope for a lot of in the lowest strata of the working class disgusted with politics that there can have hope for them and, perhaps, that they are opening their eyes to proletarian politics (in whichever form that begins).

Now the need is for a real communist party to help this awakening, which can certainly go through ups and downs, but which is marching ahead with difficulties, with oscillations, with no guaranteed of success, but in the good direction. That’s the way things have been happening in France over the last three months. ▲
On the 16th August 2012 the Marikana massacre took its turn in the long list of state sanctioned atrocities which reach back to the founding of the settler colonial society. Since the Marikana massacre, Lonmin (the mining company involved) and the ANC government has attempted a cover up of its own role (and particularly the role of Cyril Ramaphosa (CR), a Lonmin Director at the time) in the slaughter and has set up bogus ‘inquiries’ as well as jailing 19 survivors of the massacre charging them with the ‘murder’ of their colleagues.

This is in spite of the fact that there is TV footage which shows without a shadow of doubt that the police gunned down the unarmed workers. The government has, against all evidence and reason promoted the notion that the victims were in reality the real culprits. The struggle for the release of the jailed miners continues.

Lonmin is now to be sold off before paying reparations to the community and fulfilling its legal obligations under the Social and Labour Plan.

Xolobeni communities’ strong peoples’ resistance against mining

Elsewhere in South Africa in the Xolobeni communities (on the Eastern Cape’s Wild Coast) there is strong peoples’ resistance against mining. Europe and Australia are outsourcing their environmental degradation trying to establish mines in the area. Their land is an area of outstanding natural beauty and it would be sheer environmental vandalism to destroy this landscape for money.

On 22 November 2018 the Pretoria High Court ruled that the Communities have a right to say NO to mining on their land and must give ‘full and informed consent’ before the Department of Mineral Resources can begin mining there. Minister Gwede Mantashe told mining executives in Cape Town that his Dept. will appeal the Xolobeni mining ruling.

Maimaine is the chair of the committee has been murdered.

Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!
Irish Republican Prisoners
Support Group
PO Box 59188, London, NW2 9LJ, irpsgroup@gmail.com

*They have nothing in their whole imperial arsenal that can break the spirit of one Irishman who

Irish Republican Prisoners News POWs 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Castlerea Prison</th>
<th>Dean Byrne</th>
<th>Dónal Ó Coisdealbhá</th>
<th>Edward McGrath</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harristown</td>
<td>Connor Hughes</td>
<td>Daran Fox</td>
<td>James Smithers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roscommon</td>
<td>Seán Walsh</td>
<td>Mick Gilmore</td>
<td>Martin McNally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugene Kelly</td>
<td>David Murray</td>
<td>Dylan Cahill</td>
<td>Jim Smyth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Connolly</td>
<td>Kevin Brany</td>
<td>Colm Mannion</td>
<td>Brian Manning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Devlin</td>
<td>Ciara Maguire</td>
<td>Dean Evans</td>
<td>Robert Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darren Gleeson</td>
<td>Julian Flih</td>
<td>Kevin Hannaway</td>
<td>Seán Hannaway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Finnegan</td>
<td>Edward O’Brien</td>
<td>David Nooney</td>
<td>Connor Metcalfe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portlaoise Gaol</td>
<td>Darren Poleon</td>
<td>Vincent Kelly</td>
<td>Seán McVeigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dublin Road</td>
<td>Sarah Kelly</td>
<td>Joseph Hughes</td>
<td>Gavin Coyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portlaoise</td>
<td>Paddy Kennedy</td>
<td>Patrick O’Neill</td>
<td>Matt Johnston</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Co Laois E1     | Jonathan Hawthorn | Brian Carron | Brian Conn |}

From Crickeland’s Lucky 7 to Ireland’s POWs and back again!

Irish Republican POWS
E3 Portlaoise Gaol E4

“Political Status for all Irish Republican prisoners!
Free Niall Lehd, Neil Hegarty, Brendan McConville, John Paul Wotton, no extradition for Liam Campbell!”

Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!
The year 1919 opened with the meeting of the first Dáil in the Mansion House in Dublin on 21 January and the first engagement of the Tan War, the Soloheadbeg ambush in Tipperary on the same day. The IRA volunteers led by Séamus Robinson had no high command at the time. Robinson expressed contempt for the Dáil. Dan Breen said later: “...we took the action deliberately, having thought over the matter and talked it over between us. [Seán] Treacy had stated to me that the only way of starting a war was to kill someone, and we wanted to start a war, so we intended to kill some of the police whom we looked upon as the foremost and most important branch of the enemy forces.”

Following the UK general election of December 1918 of 105 Irish seats Sinn Féin won in 75 constituencies but had only 69 MPs as four were elected in two constituencies, e.g. Sinn Féin Leader Éamon de Valera was elected in both East Clare and East Mayo. Edward Carson’s Unionists got 22 seats and John Dillon’s Irish Parliamentary party was reduced to 6, losing 68 seats. But only 27 attended the first Dáil, the rest were either ‘as lathair’ (not present, Unionists), and others either ‘fé gglas ag Gallaibh’ (jailed by the foreigner) or ‘ár dhiht ag Gallabih’ (deported by the foreigner).

The first Dáil adopted a radical programme, but, as Donal Fallon tells us: “This document was mostly drafted by Thomas Johnson, Secretary of the Labour Party, who had not contested the 1918 Election, allowing Sinn Féin a clear-run in what became something of a referendum in Labour’s absence. Johnson’s original draft was somewhat toned down by Seán T. O’Kelly of Sinn Féin – with one contemporary joking it was in essence “Debolshevised”.

The most important item deleted was James Connolly’s sentence: “the Republic will aim at the elimination of the class in society which lives upon the wealth produced by the workers of the nation but gives no useful service in return.” Connolly co-founded the Irish Labour Party with Jim Larkin and William O’Brien in 1912 as the political wing of the Irish Trade Union Congress. The latter had been founded in 1894 as a consequence of the British TUC continually ignoring Irish issues, even those pertaining to craft unionism. The new organisation was called the Irish Labour Party and Trade Union Congress (ILPTUC).

However, the body remained dominated by craft unions that were mainly Irish branches of British-based unions, with the bulk of these located in the north. To maintain unity political issues were never discussed. Only with the arrival of Larkin and Connolly did the balance of power shift in this uncomfortably compromised organisation from the craft to the industrial and therefore from the north to the south. This advance was apparent in the ITUC programme adopted in 1914 for, “the abolition of the capitalist system of wealth production with its inherent injustice and poverty”, together with the above quotes sentence.

In the 1916 Congress in Sligo President Thomas Johnson had delegates standing in respect for those who had died in the Rising and in “foreign fields”. An ominous indication of the ILPTUC future role in Irish society was the fact that it took no part in the Dublin Lockout of 1913; Connolly and Larkin were left to fight without official backing for fear of alienating craft unionism, overwhelmingly Loyalist. So, the Johnson of the first Dáil had not only conceded the leading role of the working class but also the struggle against imperialism.

Although it did stand in the Treaty election of 1922 it implicitly endorsing that 1921 Anglo-Irish Treaty and took no side in the civil war, again implicitly endorsing the Free Staters. They were now 100% opposed to Connolly’s politics but hypocritically kept him as their iconic founding father, as today’s Irish Labour party still does. In 1919 internationally the shock waves from the October Revolution in Russia was still powerfully sweeping the planet. Upwards of 90,000 Red Clydesiders rallied in Glasgow’s George Square on 31 January 1919. The Riot Act was read, and the police attacked the demonstrators and arrested the strike leaders. Just over two weeks previously, on 15 January, the Freikorps had murdered the great Polish revolutionary leader Rosa Luxemburg in Berlin on the orders of the Social Democratic leaders, Defence Minister Gustav Noske and Chancellor Friedrich Ebert to defeat the German revolution.

Just three months later in India came the Jallianwala Bagh massacre on 13 April 1919. British troops under Colonel Reginald Dyer savagely massacred up to 1,000 peaceful demonstrators in Amritsar in the Punjab province. They were stationed on a hill and fired on the defenceless crowd until all their ammunition was exhausted. Of course, the British administration held an inquiry which exonerated Dyer and claimed only 300-odd were killed.

The truly radical forces in Ireland in those days were the left republicans, Liam Mellows in particular, whose speech during the Treaty Debates is an absolute classic international socialist republican call to arms. It reads as fresh today as the day he delivered it.

This was the radical alternative, learned during his enforced exile in America where he met revolutionary socialists of every hue and from his connection with the fledgling Irish Communist Party, led by men like Sean McLoughlin and Roddy Connolly (before he became a TU bureaucrat).

They combined the national liberation struggle with the struggle for socialism, inspired by the Russian Revolution and Mellows took them seriously and issued a manifesto from his cell which made the connection which would inspire the working class and small farmers to fight.

That was why the Free Staters shot him and his three comrades on 8 December 1922 and others like Erskine Childers and carried out the Kerry Atrocities. Counterrevolution to allow the big Dublin capitalist and the big ranchers to maintain their trade relations with the Empire at the expense of the ‘lower orders’.

### Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!

---

**2019: Irish and International revolutionary and counter-revolutionary centenaries** By Tony Fox

---

Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!
Last September the Labour Party NEC adopted the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) pseudo-definition of “anti-Semitism”, whose purpose is to suppress criticism of Israel and meaningful solidarity with the Palestinians. Since then there has been an escalation of attacks on the democratic rights of the left by Zionists, by Labour Party politicians and others. What is worse is that some on the left are co-operating and joining in the Zionist witchhunt against so-called “left-wing anti-Semites”.

On 21 September, the Revolutionary Communist Group held a public meeting in Camden to denounce the IHRA definition and the Labour Party’s adoption of it. They reported: “The attempt to ban the meeting was led by ex-Labour councillor and Director of Public Affairs of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, Philip Rosenberg. Sections of Camden’s Labour Council participated in the attempt to associate Palestinian solidarity with anti-Semitism, with the Camden Counter-Extremism team (Present ‘Thought Police’ - SF) even sending along two council officers to monitor the meeting. It is clear that sections of the Labour Party are now on the offensive to use the IHRA definition to shut down free speech on Palestine.”

Zionists Ambrosine Shitrit, Sharon Klaff and Jonathan Hoffman attended the meeting. Hoffman constantly disrupted it and others filmed the audience. These three have long associations with Paul Besser, former Intelligence Officer for Britain First, a neo-Nazi group, and other well-known fascists. Clearly there was a concerted attack on defence of Palestine by Camden Council and far right Zionists.

In early October when attempting to re-stock our magazine in Housmans Bookshop in Kings Cross, we were informed that our publications would no longer be sold. We asked why, and were eventually told by the manager “you know why”, “People we trust” said the manager, had told them that our magazine was “anti-Semitic”. We hear this is people from Present.

On 14th December we once again went to re-stock our magazines, this time in Bookmarks, the outlet of the Socialist Workers Party. Just as at Housmans, the staff told us that they could no longer stock our magazine. They said that they could not say why, but that we could email the SWP for a reason. We have since contacted the SWP leadership, including their leader Alex Callinicos, but they have failed to reply.

Socialist Fight does support work for political prisoners in Ireland and internationally. In this country we try to help Ben Stimson, a young Irishman of Jewish background in jail in Manchester. He was jailed on a phoney ‘terrorism’ charge for going to Ukraine and giving support to the resistance of the mainly Russian-speaking population and provincial governments of Eastern Ukraine against the Western-backed Nazi-infested nationalist regime in Kiev. He has been told by the authorities that he is no longer allowed to receive our publications because it would harm his ‘rehabilitation’ under Prevent. Labour Councils and the pro-capitalist trade union bureaucracy have meanwhile been frontally attacking the democratic rights of others on the left inside and outside the Labour Party, and anti-racist critics of Zionism generally. Some examples:

- The IHRA definition was rammed through the Labour Party NEC on 4th September with all its ‘examples’ which try to ban meaningful anti-racist criticism of Israel, by the votes both of right-wing unions such as GMB and UNISON, and lefts such as UNITE’s Len McCluskey, who also voted down the proposals for Open Selection of Labour candidates. The leadership of Momentum, around Jon Lansman, also stabbed the Labour membership and hacked the full IHRA.

- The Labour Council in Hammersmith and Fulham sacked Stan Keable, of Labour Party Marxists, from his job, for expressing anti-Zionist views in a private conversation, filmed without his consent, at a demonstration last year against the vilification of Jeremy Corbyn. Comrade Keable has also been backstabbed by his union, UNISON.

- Another attempt was made this autumn, by a Labour Council in Dudley, West Midlands, to sack a Labour member who they employed. Paul Jonson, who outspokenly called for support for the Palestinians and denounced Israel as a ‘racist endeavour’ had to be cleared as the IHRA definition has no legal standing, and comrade Jonson had the backing of his union and the local Trades Council.

- In December, the ex-Israeli Jazz Saxophonist Gilad Atzmon, who has played for the Blockheads, the band of the late Ian Dury, for around 25 years, was due to play a Christmas concert with the Blockheads. Outside of his musical activity, Atzmon is a fierce critic of Israel as well as Jewish identity politics. As a result of a complaint from an individual called Martin Rankoff, who it transpires is the director of Likud UK, Islington council banned him from playing. However, the Blockheads managed to get a replacement Saxophonist, Sanity Claus, whose musical prowess emulated that of Atzmon (who resembled him). Also to their credit, the band denounced ‘discrimination’ against Atzmon to considerable applause from fans.

So what do we have here? We have an appalling, cowardly excuse for a left in this country! We have the right-wing of the Labour Party which promotes the Zionist agenda. We have ‘left-wing’ bureaucrats like McCluskey who help the right-wing and the Zionists to impose it on the Labour Party, with only weak opposition from Jeremy Corbyn, and a Labour ‘left’ led by Lansman who is overtly pro-Zionist. We have other parts of the Labour left, such as the AWL, who have joined in the witchhunts against more left-wing figures such as Jackie Walker and Tony Greenstein, probably the world’s most prominent and outspoken anti-Zionist of Jewish origin.

And we have the SWP, which has a very chequered record, to say the least. Alex Callinicos was one of those who joined in the outcry against Norman Finkelstein’s work The Holocaust Industry around 2000. But then the SWP after Cliff’s death underwent a more left-wing period during the Iraq war, and was known for its strong anti-Zionism (within its own limitations), its bloc with George Galloway in RESPECT, and in that period its hosting of Gilad Atzmon as part of its ‘cultures of resistance’ events.

The SWP was witch-hunted for some of those things, and eventually capitulated. A key event was its refusal to defend Julian Assange from the CIA frameup after 2011. And now we have its apparent joining in a witchhunt against anti-Zionists to its left, with both the witchhunt in the Labour Party and the attacks of Prevent and the Zionists outside it. Refusing to sell the publications of a Socialist trend active in Labour that is witchhunted by the right really means joining in the witchhunt.

We appeal to the SWP membership and cadre to fight against this latest scandalous capitulation.

We appeal to all socialists and working class militants to demand of Housmans and Bookmarks that they cease their censorship of Socialist Fight and allow its sale in their bookshops.

This is the Strangeways ban on SF. There was a similar Belmarsh ban against Socialist Fight dated 16-10-16. Tracy Fitton, the head of Prevent North West, visited Ben in Wymott prison to assess him. She is the thought policewoman who decides what he gets to read and who he is allowed to talk to on the phone.

Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!
Socialists and supporters of workers’ rights, in the Labour Party and outside, have to make a leap of our understanding, and realise that migrant workers’ rights ARE workers’ rights. We should oppose Brexit and defend free movement and migration for workers within the EU. This is not out of any antipathy for workers from outside the EU; we demand the same rights for them. But socialists should demand Brexit be cancelled to defend free movement against reactionary attack from little England chauvinists, including those who claim to be on the left and support so-called ‘Lexit’.

One positive effect of migration is that it brings into existence, despite all the strains, an international working class, not as an abstraction, but as a material reality. That has always been true of capitalism. In an earlier period, by drawing into the cities and industry enormous numbers of former poor peasants, it created its potential gravedigger. This is also true of migration: and it is why all kinds of reactionary backward-looking nationalists hate migrant workers. We do not endorse the EU’s single market for labour and capital, just as we do not endorse capitalist markets in general. But just as much as the right to join trade unions, to strike and struggle for better wages and conditions, so the right to seek work where the best conditions are available is also indivisible for workers. We are opposed to all restrictions on this, including chauvinist ones that purport to benefit one section of the working class (‘indigenous’) workers against another migrant section.

To say otherwise is to poison the relations between workers with different origins, and destroy class solidarity domestically and internationally. Such chauvinism encourages different groups of workers to scab on each other’s struggles. We totally oppose the neo-liberalism of the bourgeois opponents of Brexit, and of the EU itself. The most dramatic expression of this was the punishment of the Greek working people for resisting the austerity programme of the EU, whose purpose was to prop up the Euro as the centrepiece of the current EU project – a tickety monetary union without merging the states and economies of the components of the EU.

But we are against the break-up of the EU along national lines, between British, French, German, Italian imperialists etc. We demand the destruction of all these separate imperialist entities and the creation through workers’ mass struggles for a United States of Europe, which to really succeed would have to be under the rule of the working class.

We demand a full fiscal union to complement the monetary union, writing off Greek and other debts of poorer nations, which would hence be aided economically as regions, and a democratic union where it is the working class, not unelected bureaucrats (who are in fact agents of the European imperialists and their super-rich elites), who control and own the productive forces of a united continent.

We need to win so-called ‘indigenous’ workers to defend migrant workers’ rights and struggles as their own. We need a movement that will physically defend migrant workers against racist and xenophobic attacks, from organised non-state racists and fascists, or the state.

**Brexit and Xenophobic Bigotry**

After the vote for Brexit in 2016, there was an escalation of racist and chauvinist attacks. Polish and East European workers were the targets: leaflets were pushed through doors threatening ‘Polish vermin’. Polish cultural centres were daubed with racist graffiti, and there were violent attacks, and even disgusting murders of Eastern Europeans.

Black and Asian people were abused and threatened and told to ‘go home’. These incidents were a small fraction of what has been happening. Many victims of abuse and violent racial crime do not report such incidents because of justified distrust of the police and the legal system.

This racial abuse and violence can be put at the door of the bourgeois politicians on both sides of the referendum. The entire thrust of Johnson, Gove and Farage’s ‘Leave’ campaigning was whipping up hatred of migrants; but many on the ‘Remain’ side were no better, endorsing fortress Europe racism against non-EU migrant workers and demands for an ‘emergency brake’, agreeing that migrants are to be treated as an enemy population. They cringed in the face of anti-immigrant populism that has gained much influence in British society because of the relative absence of working class politics for more than two decades.

Syrian and Middle Eastern refugees too were targets for the Brexeters as they banged the drum against those fleeing the wars the West and the Israelis have waged in the Middle East. These wars destroyed Iraq and Libya and continue to destabilise Syria in pursuit of creating anarchy, social collapse and fragmentation of the Arab world in particular. This is not only aimed at asserting US global hegemony but also at preventing any Arab unification that may threaten Israel’s ability to seize Arab land with impunity.

**Proletarian internationalism not labour protectionism**

British national sentiment does not contain any justification or a struggle against oppression, as does Palestinian, Irish or Latin American nationalism. It is reactionary, pure and simple – Marxists do not support the ‘right’ of British chauvinists, even those with ties to the labour movement, to exclude or restrict migrants from British soil.

Working class support for labour protectionism helps capital to divide the working class along national lines and severely damages the possibility of international solidarity. And since capitalism has become more and more internationalised for many decades, and IT revolution in the more recent decades has made capital flight qualitatively easier, international solidarity is going to be a crucial weapon even in defensive struggles in many countries, both imperialist and semi-colonial, in the future. Without it, the working class will suffer more very damaging defeats.

The labour movement should have no truck with labour protectionism, but should adopt as its own the slogan: ‘BRITISH WORKERS, MIGRANT WORKERS, SAME STRUGGLE SAME FIGHT, WORKERS OF THE WORLD UNITE!’

---
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**An Argument for Radical Reform in Abortion Law; Abortion Free and Legal Until the Point of Birth**


**By Ivy O’Hare**

Various argument have been used historically to limit or completely forbid a woman’s right to chose. This article seeks to discredit the philosophical and legal arguments used to this end, and argues against the legal framework which deny women vital health care. We seek to destroy the paternalistic approaches to women and this most vital aspect of health care, and to set forth an argument for a person-centred approach to abortion as opposed to that paternalism of the medicine of old.

Therefore we argue that the reasons for a woman seeking an abortion may be an abusive relationship she wishes to discontinue, financial reasons, her physical or mental health, or that she just doesn’t want to be a mother. Any reason is justified. We will also discredit the arguments which seek to limit a woman right to chose, this alongside proposing an educational programme, for both women and girls, which teaches the of dangers, both medically and psychology, of late term abortion.

These are the arguments made historically and currently for limiting the rights of pregnant women.

Some argue the cut off point should be viability, when the foetus can viably live outside the womb. But this changes from country to country and even from post code to post code as different medical equipment, primitive or advanced, is available. This suggestion offers no definitive answer.

Some say that the cut off point should be the moment of conception, and therefore no abortion ever. It endows the foetus with a special quality which entitles it to continue, also known as a soul, and most Churches argue that the soul enters at the point of conception. However if we accept materialism then we cannot help but accept that there is no such thing as this ethereal soul.

The argument of negative theology used to discount God can be applied to the soul. That the soul is an invisible and immeasurable quantity means we cannot ascribe to it any features, characteristics or properties, and existence itself is a property. We cannot see nor described it, even with advanced scientific equipment, we cannot say the soul is real simply because there is no means by which to measure it. In short, the foetus has no special quality entitling it to survival.

Some say when the foetus first moves noticeably inside the woman, known in medical terms as quickening, should be the moment when an abortion should become illegal. This comes from old Aristotelian theory that the most fundamental property of life is that it moves, or rather moves itself, is animated. All matter moves, in fact it has become apparent that no matter how much you freeze it you cannot make matter static. There exists an exponential relationship between how much energy is put into the experiment to halt matter and how much they can slow it down, never reaching the point of stasis. It has become apparent that movement is an inextricable property of matter, not just of life. So the old Aristotelian argument falls through.

So really any time period drawn up by any state to impose a time limit on abortion is arbitrary, and has little logical or scientific reason for its stated time frame.

The modern argument of a woman’s bodily autonomy, that she is in ultimate control of what happens to her body, and her economic situation (a baby can ruin you or seriously disadvantage your prospects) means that a woman should be able to have a termination up until the point of birth, when the foetus becomes a baby and acquires some semblance of personhood. Otherwise we are letting the state dictate what a woman uses her body for. Unacceptable.

What about the daughter who had hide her pregnancy from her family out of shame, or because of their families religious beliefs their desire for her chastity. Should she be denied a late term abortion (in medical terms, this is when an abortion is performed after viability) and be forced to have a child which she doesn’t want, is unprepared to care for, which fulfils the wishes of her family rather than her own? Or the sex trafficking victims who has become pregnant as a result of rape and has been denied healthcare by her pimp’s? Once free, surely it is a grave double injustice to force her to deliver a rape baby simply because she was unable to access a clinician until late term?

Full reproduce education should be taught in schools and by adult education services, explaining to girls and women that late term abortion come with significantly higher complications. When we say we trust women with their pregnancies it should mean precisely this, that when we educated them to the highest level to be aware of what late term abortion involve, they will consider these risks as weighted up against the risks of forever having to be involved with an abusive partner by virtue of their shared parenthood, or financially crippled for the foreseeable future because of an unwanted baby. We must educated and trust women. Abortions can greatly advance a woman’s prospects. Late term abortions are in no way ideal but we shouldn’t criminalise women for having them, which a time limit does. I would suggest that the majority of late term abortions in the UK, and perhaps internationally, are sought by women in abusive or controlling relationships, just as internet abortion pills are used by these women (as opposed to going through your genitourinary medicine (GUM) clinic or GP service).

There are no statistics in the UK for this, unbelievably, but that would be my instinct. One can easily imagine the situation where a woman as been in an abusive relationship, controlled by her partner to the extent that she cannot access abortion services, only to leave him whilst heavily pregnant, denied an abortion and the courts grant joint custody or visitation to the abusive father after that child’s birth, putting both woman and child in danger. And if, as I suspect, that late term abortion are disproportionally sought by women in controlling of abusive relationships, any law against late term abortions therefore criminalizes some of the most vulnerable women in society.

Moreover, women in abusive and controlling relationships, who have not been able to seek abortions within the legal times frame are prosecuted for obtaining their own abortions, and this is not abstract. Women are prosecuted every year in the UK for obtaining abortion pills online, they face the same prison term as a murderer by virtue of the Offences Against the Person Act (1961) and suffer a life sentence. An institutional injustice compounding a personal tragedy.

So as for a cut off point for abortion, I would hope my arguments here have convinced you that there should be no cut off point at all.

Decriminalisation all the way. ▲
Free Meng Wanzhou, reject the provocation against China

On 1 December 2018 Canadian police arrested Meng Wanzhou, Huawei’s deputy Chair and chief global financial officer of the Chinese transnational corporation.

Three of the world’s top five smartphone companies are now Chinese. Huawei is the world’s second largest smartphone maker after Samsung and beating Apple into third place.

South Korea’s Samsung is the largest with a global market share of 20.9% and a loss of 10.4% last year. Huawei’s market share is 15.8% with an annual growth rate of 40.7%. The USA’s Apple’s share is 12.1% and an annual growth of 0.7%, forth is China’s Xiaomi with a market share of 9.3% and fifth is Oppo, also Chinese, market share 8.1%, annual growth 5.1%.

Although the US transnationals; Apple, Amazon, Google and Facebook are still the Big Four technology corporations, which includes all areas of social media technology etc. the trend is obvious. Together with IBM and Microsoft these corporations dominate most of the world’s modern technology. Much of the US imperialist global domination over the past four decades is based on these ‘Silicon Valley’ giant corporations.

They are very uneasy about the threat from China because this advance is obviously not confined to smartphones. Losing market share in all other areas of high technology just cannot be tolerated.

Samsung has big hopes of its forthcoming Galaxy Note 9 but what has really spooked the USA is that Huawei is the world leader in next-generation “5G” mobile network technology. Workers Vanguard No. 1147, 18 January 2019, reveals the real reason for the arrest of Meng Wanzhou:

“U.S. officials fret that Huawei’s dominance in the development of 5G technology gives Beijing vast powers of global surveillance. That is rich coming from the Master of pervasive spying on its own citizens, foreign nationals and even allied heads of state. As Snowden revealed, the National Security Agency has routinely planted “backdoors” in Cisco routers and other network equipment being shipped overseas. It also hacked Huawei’s internal computer network and accessed its email archive, customer list and source code for its software products.”

The 23 politically-driven charges against her include bank fraud, obstruction of justice and theft of technology. The USA is successfully rallying its more plain allies, Australia, New Zealand, Japan and the UK against the threat from this terribile 5G device, but the EU and others are not responding.

This is the global trade-war-leading-toworld-war situation that also is driving Trump’s aggression against Venezuela.

Obviously we are not defending the appalling employment practises of this transnational corporation nor do we suggest that this multi-millionaire in any way represents the interests of Chinese workers in a deformed workers state.

Whilst we defend China against imperialism the social revolution is needed there. The expropriation of all capitalist property, including Huawei and all Chinese capitalist billionaires in this capitalist, advanced semi-colonial state, similar to Venezuela, Brazil and Russia.

GRL Motion for LRC AGM 9 February 2019

The Brexit vote on 23 June 2016 caused a huge rise in racism and the neo-fascist DFLA, strengthening English nationalism and imperialist ideology. This is not a choice between two wings of the ruling class; we must oppose Brexit because of its reactionary effect on working-class consciousness.

We must never equate the interests of the British working class with the interests of British or EU capitalism, on company boards or in foreign wars. We must never call for the unity of all true patriots to defend British national interests and independence.

Our allies are the global working class; we strongly hold that migrant rights are workers’ rights. The gig economy of zero hours contracts in couriers, the McDonald’s workers, the disorganised construction sites where agency firms collude with corrupt union officials to maintain the profits of the big firms cry out for a fightback.

It is an indictment of big unions like Unite and the GMB that small, TUC unrecognised unions like the IWGB, the IWW, United Voices of the World (UVW) and the Cleaners’ and Allied Independent Workers’ Union (CAIWU) are far more militant and successful in fighting the bosses.

We need a rank-and-file movement against the union bureaucracy like the Grass Roots Left to unite all the fightbacks within big unions and the small, fighting unions.

We must, therefore, set out to frustrate and defeat the reactionary anti-immigrant, anti-working class Brexit agenda, via a new Labour government and/or a referendum.

Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!
Defeat the US-sponsored coup against Venezuela
Anti-Imperialist United Front against the USA; defeat the desperate warmongering global hegemon

We must defend Nicolás Maduro and Venezuela against the blatant US-sponsored coup attempt. Unconditional but critical support is the Marxist line, the anti-imperialist united front without political support in the domestic class struggle is the tactic. National Assembly leader Juan Guaidó declared himself Venezuela’s acting President on 13 January.

Guaidó had himself sworn in as interim president on 23 January amid much violence and bloodshed. Trump immediately recognised him as the rightful head of state. Maduro immediately broke relations with the USA and ordered the Ambassador and embassy staff to leave the country. On the 26 January the US called an emergency session of the UN Security Council and Pompeo demanded: “It is time for every other nation to pick a side. No more delays, no more games. Either you stand with the forces of freedom, or you’re in league with Maduro and his mayhem.” By 27 January 27 Maduro had backed down following threats of immediate unspecified action by the US and agreed to maintain diplomatic ties, although now this ambassador was pledging his allegiance to Guaidó.

Venezuela has the largest oil deposits in the world. On 28 January Trump issued an executive order for the US Treasury Department to take control of Petroleos de Venezuela (PDVSA), Venezuela’s state-owned oil and natural gas company which is the nation’s largest employer and revenue source. It will continue to operate but all its assets and profits are frozen in the USA. This is also the source not only of most of the welfare provisions for the working class and poor but also of the privileges of the military and other Maduro supporters. The economic crisis in Venezuela has resulted in three million refugees and inflation rates of a million percent a year. This crisis is only partly due to the fall in the price of oil; US economic sanctions are the main reason as they were in Zimbabwe, Iran and Turkey recently.

Thus, the coup is driving at the real reason the military has backed Chavez and Maduro so strongly over the years; they bribed them handsomely. Guaidó has offered them all amenities if they turn against Maduro. National Security Advisor John Bolton urged the military to “accept the peaceful, democratic and constitutional transfer of power”.

The second biggest danger is that the working class and poor in the barrios and favelas will become even more demoralised by the failure of Chavez and Maduro to act against the open agents of US imperialism; the very rich and powerful capitalist class whose wealth and privileges is protected by the state. And those privileges include the right to stage regular coup attempts to test the loyalty of Maduro’s base and the loyalty of the military.

The international line up
Internationally the line-up is similar to the Canada/China affair apart from the EU backing the coup. Russia, China, Italy, (for its own opportunist reasons against the EU), Syria, Iran, Mexico, Cuba, Bolivia, El Salvador, Nicaragua, the Workers’ Party of Brazil, Hezbollah, and Turkey have publicly backed Maduro. New Zealand says it has concerns about the 2018 Venezuelan elections but refuses to take sides. The US, Israel, Canada, Australia, Japan, Brazil, Argentina, Peru, Colombia, Britain, Germany, Spain; more than 20 countries in all have now recognised the unelected US stooge Guaidó as the legitimate president.

Imperialism, which has been steadily taking over Brazilian Petroleum since the parliamentary coup of 2016, is now seeking to seize Venezuela’s essential resources, not only oil, but also gold. It seems that Venezuela has important reserves of gold. The crisis is especially marked because one of the resistance weapons of the Eurasian bloc in the commercial war against the US is to re-establish the prevailing gold standard until World War II as a bargaining chip, de-dollarizing world trade. This confrontation has obvious global political and strategic military consequences.

Overcoming the Chavez impasse, towards the proletarian revolution!
If the USA expropriates Venezuela’s oil corporation then why does Maduro not expropriate the pro-US capitalist enemy forces within his country? He is doomed if he does not if not this time then in the next, better-prepared coup. After two decades in the government, the Chavezismo is discredited before the population in two aspects. First, though it rhetorically announces its choice for socialism and against imperialism, it concretely opposes this anti-capitalist rupture and frustrates its popular base. Second, this impasse allows the powerful imperialist enemies and their local agents to move forward with the economic sabotage that undermines the confidence of the Chavismo in protecting the living conditions of the population. Despite the international observers declaring the undisputed victories of Maduro in the Constituent Assembly an opposition coup now looms.

The political breakdown derived from the strategic deadlock was expressed in high abstention. The biggest of them all in the last 20 years. This abstention, which was boosted by the boycott orchestrated by the opposition who knew they could not win the elections, causes the masses to turn away from politics.

Maduro got three times the number of votes of his nearest rival, nevertheless, that corresponds to only 28% of the voters of Venezuela. Not bad compared to the victory that gave the government to Trump, whose agents today question the legitimacy of Maduro. The US president won the presidential election over Hillary Clinton backed by only 26% of American voters, and actually got almost 2.868 million votes less than Hillary Clinton.

The Venezuelan working class knows that it needs to make a tactical united front with Maduro, against imperialism and its coup agents, as well as against the puppet government of Brazil and Colombia and to advance strategically with its Latin American working brothers, including Brazilians and Colombians to overcome Maduro, claiming arms from Maduro and Putin and taking political control of the means of production, communication and the armed forces.

The Bolivarian National Militia must be expanded to arm the entire people and even internationalize with all the Latin American and Caribbean brothers who wish to enlist in it (including Brazilians, Colombians and Guianas), to disarm preachers to the coup and defend the country of an imperialist aggression that instrumentalizes the governments and troops of Bolsonaro and Duque.

Following the current impasse is the sure path to a bloody defeat, the same or worse than that of Egypt.

Those who make half a revolution inevitably suffer the consequence. ▲
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All ideological, religious and political interests are intertwined behind US onslaught against Venezuela. This is my precise understanding over America’s Problem with Venezuela.

In order to fully understand why America is waging economic war on the people of Venezuela one must analyse the historical relationship between the petrodollar system and Sanctions of Mass Destruction: Prior to the 20th century, the value of money was tied to gold. The value of money was tied to gold. The Saudi Kingdom would in turn ensure that its oil profits flow back into U.S. government treasuries and American banks. In exchange, America pledged to provide the Saudi Royal family’s regime with military protection and military hardware. It was the start of something truly great for America. Access to oil defined 20th-century empires and the petrodollar agreement was the key to the ascendancy of the United States as the world’s sole superpower. America’s war machine runs on, is funded by, and exists in protection of oil.

Threats by any nation to undermine the petrodollar system are viewed by Washington as tantamount to a declaration of war against the United States of America. Within the last two decades Iraq, Iran, Libya and Venezuela have all threatened to sell their oil in other currencies. Consequently, they have all been subject to crippling U.S. sanctions.

Over time the petrodollar system spread beyond oil and the U.S. dollar slowly but surely became the reserve currency for global trades in most commodities and goods. This system allows America to maintain its position of dominance as the world’s only superpower, despite being a staggering $23 trillion in debt. With billions of dollars’ worth of minerals in the ground and with the world’s largest oil reserves, Venezuela should not only be wealthy, but her people the envy of the developing world. But the nation is essentially broke because American sanctions have cut them off from the international financial system and cost the economy $6 billion over the last five years.

Without sanctions, Venezuela could recover easily by collateralizing some of its abundant resources or its $8 billion of gold reserves, in order to get the loans necessary to kick-start their economy.
Kronstadt, Victor Serge and the cement of morality

By Gerry Downing

T
heir Morals and Ours is Trotsky’s great defence of communist morality against the hypocrisy of Judeo-Christian morality and its offspring bourgeois and Stalinist morality (in so far as the latter has any morality at all). It had a great influence on my own personal ideological development, and I have heard others like Trotskyist Bill Hunter testify to the same experience. Once you have read and fully understood this text you are ideologically a communist. I have just reread it for the umpteenth time and again learned a great deal more from it. Of course, you must progress from there and discover how to put the principles into practice, an immense task today but surely achievable. This is how Trotsky emphasises the importance of this ideological struggle in his great piece:

“Bourgeois evolutionism halts impotently at the threshold of historical society because it does not wish to acknowledge the driving force in the evolution of social forms: the class struggle. Morality is one of the ideological functions in this struggle. The ruling class forces its ends upon society and habituates it into considering all those means which contradict its ends as immoral. That is the chief function of official morality. It pursues the idea of the “greatest possible happiness” not for the majority but for a small and ever-diminishing minority. Such a regime could not have endured for even a week through force alone. It needs the cement of morality. The mixing of this cement constitutes the profession of the petty-bourgeois theoreticians and moralists. They dabble in all colours of the rainbow but in the final instance remain apostles of slavery and submission.”

And Trotsky asserts the central importance of getting this matter of morality right for the proletarian revolutionist:

“Whoever does not care to return to Moses, Christ or Mohammed; whoever is not satisfied with eclectic hodge-podges must acknowledge that morality is a product of social development; that there is nothing invariable about it; that it serves social interests; that these interests are contradictory; that morality more than any other form of ideology has a class character. The appeal to abstract norms is not a disinterested philosophic mistake but a necessary element in the mechanics of class deception. The exposure of this deceit which retains the tradition of thousands of years is the first duty of a proletarian revolutionist.”

How does Victor Serge fare in this ideological struggle? Very badly as we shall see. His conversion to Bolshevism in 1919 was empirical and by the 1940 essay he had reverted back to his anarchist roots. The central feature his outlook was ideological and political agnosticism in the face of definite, clear-cut positions taken by Trotsky, whose ideology is coherent and consistent; the integrated world outlook that is revolutionary Marxism. Victor Serge is repelled by his “domineering tone of Bolshevik speech of the great years, along with its echoes of the imperious and uncompromising style of Karl Marx the polemicist.” Trotsky’s certainty is “unjustified” because, says Serge:

“The truth is never fixed, it is constantly in the process of becoming and no absolute border sets it apart from error, and the assurance of those Marxists who fail to see this is quickly transformed into smugness. The feeling of possessing the truth goes hand in hand with a certain contempt for man, of the other man, in any case, he who errs and doesn’t know how to think since he is ignorant of the truth and even allows himself to resist it. This sentiment implies a denial of freedom, freedom being, on the intellectual level, the right of others to think differently, the right to be wrong. The germ of an entire totalitarian mentality can be found in this intolerance.”

Victor Serge was no theoretician, he acknowledged himself, but sometimes even the most ‘practical’ of people are obliged to make a theoretical justification of what they are about to say. What follows from this attack on the ‘truth’ by Serge is that Trotsky was a very nasty and sectarian man in attacking the centrist POUM and the Anarchist-Syndicalists in Spain, the ultra-lefts in Holland, etc. If he was nicer to people, understood the limitations of truth a bit better, like Victor himself, he would not have ended up with so many enemies and so few friends (Serge did not fare much better, we might observe, despite the attentions of the Surrealist André Breton). We get the same criticisms with this animal if it is constantly changing so much so that we can never arrive at any determination at all? How do we sort the truth from the lies? It is well known that all the functionaries in the Stalinised Comintern, and the leaders of all Communist parties internationally after Zinoviev ‘Bolshevised’ them from 1924, were chosen for their low theoretical abilities and their ability to lie convincingly in parroting the ever-changing line from the Kremlin. “A lie can travel halfway around the world before the truth can get its boots on” as someone once said, and the lies from the Kremlin were legion.

The first thing to say about truth is that it is concrete. It is not the sum totals of abstractions. Material reality is never made up of abstractions. That is a fundamental law of Marxist dialects. And it is in the abstractions that Victor Serge gets lost. In the Russian Revolution there was no room for abstract sentimentality. The Bolsheviks shot the Tsar and all his family at Ekaterinburg because if they were liberated by the advancing White armies they would become a rallying point for reaction, that revolution could be defeated and perhaps hundreds of thousands would die because of failure to act decisively.

In 1938 Trotsky wrote:

How can the Kronstadt uprising cause such heartburn to Anarchists, Mensheviks, and “liberal” counter-revolutionists, all at the same time? The answer is simple: all these groupings are interested in compromising the only genuinely revolutionary current, which has never repudiated its banner, has not compromised with its enemies, and alone represents the future. It is because of this that among the belated denouncers of my Kronstadt “crime” there are so many former revolutionists or semi-revolutionists, people who have lost their program and their principles and who find it necessary to divert attention from the degradation of the Second International or the perfidy of the Spanish Anarchists. As yet, the Stalinists cannot openly join this campaign around Kronstadt but even they, of course, rub their hands with pleasure; for the blows are directed against “Trotskyism,” against revolutionary Marxism, against the Fourth International!

Lastly, perhaps the greatest lie is the equation of Bolshevism and Trotskyism with Stalinism that Serge makes and which a large part of Trotsky’s Their Morals and Ours refutes so ably. The whole story of the Zombie that is Stalinism appears once more from the grave via the good efforts of Grover Furr, Yuri Emelianov, etc. Left Stalinism is a product of the low level of the class struggle internationally and the consequent ideological and theoretical backwardness of the middle class intellectuals which we could expect to attract to Trotskyism in a period of an upswing.
The Palestine Solidarity Campaign AGM 26-1-2019
By Gerry Downing

A pproximately 250 in attendance in the Conway Hall, Red Lion Square, Lon-
don. Most of the AGM was routine apart from some questioning of the finance report – how come with an increase of 31% in membership income receipts from members declined from £84,142 in 2017 to £80,775 in 2018? Answer: If you joined in August only that portion of your membership from August to January would be counted. Satis-
fied?

Four contested events: two motions and the elections for the Secretary and for the eight lay members of the Executive.

The first controversial motion was that from Exeter PSC on the nation State law. The Executive wanted to remove that part of the first paragraph which said, “which in relation to Palestinians in Israel or Occupied Palestine Territories can be described at best as apartheid … and at worst as attempted (slow motion Exeter addendum) genocide … These are both crimes under international law.”

Exeter accepted the addendum of the Execu-
tive but not the deletion. A heated dis-
cussion took place, Socialist Fight’s two delegates strongly defending the Exacter designation of the actions of Israel as geno-
cide. We pointed out that South African apartheid was different to the intent and actions of Israel. The South African racists wanted to exploit the labour of the opp-
pressed black population, Israel wanted to get rid of the Palestinians.

The Naqba had ethnically cleansed up to 800,000 Palestinians in 1948, the Nation State Law showed its intent to legally desig-
nate the remaining Palestinian who were citizens of Israel as second class and the constant bombing of Gaza was another strong indication of their intent. Supporter of Exeter’s motion defeated the Executive amendment with no count of the votes neces-
sary and so their designation of Israel’s actions as ‘slow motion genocide’ stands.

The desire to conciliate Zionist reaction was even clearer in the other controversial motion, Motion 3, propose by Tony Green-
stein. This defended Jenny Tonge against the action of the Executive in forcing her resigna-
tion because, in response to the Zi-
onist use the murder of 11 Jews at the Tree of Life synagogue in Pennsylvania on 27 October she tweeted:

“Absolutely appalling and a criminal act, but does it ever occur to Bibi and the present Israeli government that its actions against Palestinians may be reigniting anti-Semitism? I suppose someone will say that it is anti-Semitic to say so.”

They did, beginning with the right wing Tory bigots, Conservative Friends of Israel Lords Pickles and Polak. The motion pointed out that “nothing that Jenny Tonge said was antisemitic” and that Israeli Minister Naftali stated that “the hand that fire missiles is the same hand that shoots worshippers”.

The debate was heated, with all defenders of the Executive’s appalling treatment of Jenny essentially arguing that we had to bow to the Zionist onslaught, and she said the wrong thing at the wrong time. Basically, you could no longer speak the truth about Israel during a vicious Zionist campaign of lies and slanders against the left.

So, the Zionist lobby attacked the PSC Execu-
tive viciously, expecting a robust re-
response, but the Executive cleverly fooled them by running away.

The motion was lost by 89 votes to 129 with 22 abstentions because it was basically a vote of no confidence in the Executive and they would have been forced into a hu-
militating climb down and the new Chair, Kamel Hawwash, who took the main re-
responsibility for the conduct of the affair, would have to resign.

But 89 thought he should and 22 were not sure about him at all.

Tony Greenstein stood for the Secretary’s position against Ben Soffa but lost by 67 votes to 103, a creditable performance. So-
cialist Fight putout a leaflet critically defend-
ing him (he did motivate our expulsion from labour Against the Witchhunt).

In his blog and in the leaflet he put out at the conference he attacked the PSC Execu-
tive because their:

“Self-congratulation, timidity and caution bordering on obsequiousness is not the stuff of a solidarity campaign!” He goes through their list of failures, failure to defend Jeremy Corbyn and the left anti-Zionists in Labour against the bogus charges of left anti-
semicism, etc.

“Israel is NOT a democratic state – that should be our message” so why did the PSC Executive have “a PSC meeting in the House of Com-
mons with Emily Thornberry, Shadow Foreign Secretary addressing the meeting. This is the same Emily Thornberry who is quoted as stat-
ing that:

‘People who believe Israel does not have the right to exist should be drummed out of the Labour Party.’

Far from challenging Thornberry to disavow her support for Labour Friends of Israel PSC uncritically gives her a platform. In an interview with The Standard she boasted that “I joined Labour Friends of Israel when I became an MP in 2005. I support the Palestinians’ right to have a state and I support the state of Israel.”

The meeting was addressed by His Excellency Husam Zomlot, Palestinian Ambassador to the UK. Tony correctly states: “the Pales-
tinian Authority is a Quisling authority”;

“The PA openly collaborates with Israeli security forces, something which Mahmoud Abbas has described as ‘sacred. The PA is an enemy of the Palestinian people, yet PSC has never uttered even one word of criticism. On the contrary it maintains close relations with the Palestinian ‘Embassy’ in London.”

The National reported that Zomlot told Sky News on 2 October 2018 that;

“Mr Zomlot said the UK should take on the role of lead mediator between the Pal-
estinians and the Israelis for the benefit of both the US and Britain. Best friends are there to fill the vacuum, if the vacuum is going to be dangerous for your old friend which is America, fill it. This is a moment of leadership.”

Mahmoud Abbas (Abu Mazen) is the Presi-
dent of the Palestine Authority recognised by the ‘International Community’ i.e. the USA and its global stooges, including in this instance, the EU, Russia and China. He abolished elections when his term of office expired 15 January 2009. He is a member of the Fatah party and was elected Chairman in 2009. On December 16, 2009 he was voted into office indefinitely by the PLO Central Council. Why hold elections you would cer-
tainly lose to Hamas when you have the backing of Israel and the USA and the ac-
quiescence of the entire imperialist world? But elections we must have to oust the dem-
cratically elected President of Venezuela. Lastly, we were entertained by a dance troupe trio from the Palestine Hawiya Dance Company just after the break, who put on a really excellent show for us all. ▲

Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!
Don’t mention the Jewish-Zionist lobby!
Socialist Action crippled Palestine Solidarity Campaign to expunge ‘anti-Semites’: At least Tony Greenstein now recognises the decay
Critical support to him as Secretary (SFG Flyer for PSC AGM)

“My first actions as a political activist were with the Boycott of the South African Springbok Rugby team in 1970. We didn’t though face a significant pro-Apartheid lobby in Britain. Ministers didn’t fall over themselves to laud South Africa as the ‘only democracy in Africa.’ Israel does possess a powerful lobby. Crucial to destroying support for Israel is destroying the myth that Israel is a democratic state.

“We should also also recognise where our strengths and weaknesses lie. The majority of British people support the Palestinians. The majority of the elites support Zionism. We should proceed on that basis.” (from Tony Greenstein’s blog, motivating his standing for Secretary of PSC) […]

“We should also be working with groups like the Islamic Human Rights Commission whose own Al Quds demonstration has come under attack by a combination of far-Right Zionists and Tommy Robinson supporters this year.

“We should give critical support to this demonstration and rebut the suggestion that Hamas or Hizbollah are terrorists. The political use of the ‘terrorist’ label should be opposed. Terrorism means the use of violence against civilian targets and there is none more guilty of terrorism than Israel.”

(http://azvsas.blogspot.com/2019/01/why-i-am-standing-for-post-of-secretary.html)

These are passages from the article on Tony Greenstein’s blog motivating his campaign for Secretary of PSC. His criticisms of the PSC’s “cautious conservatism” and “desire to become part of the political establishment” are obviously true.

As are his criticisms of PSC’s refusal to campaign against the witchhunt against ‘left-wing anti-Semitism’ in Labour, which is now spilling outside and has led to staff like Stan Keable, employed by Labour councils, (Hammersmith and Fulham) who have spoken out against Israeli racism, being sacked, or musicians being banned from playing.

As he notes, PSC has hosted events in Parliament with shadow foreign secretary Emily Thornberry, a member of Labour Friends of Israel who says “People who believe Israel does not have the right to exist should be drummed out of the Labour Party.” Likewise, he correctly notes, there is no systematic criticism of the traitorous Palestinian authority by the PSC, this body which represses and tortures Palestinians on Israel’s behalf.

We note that this pro-establishment position, gravelling to supporters of Israel and to those involved in repression of Palestinians, is the logic of the witchhunt against more radical critics of Zionism, some with confused views, which racked PSC in the early twenties. There was a witchhunt of people sympathetic to the views of Gilad Atzmon, and people like Francis Clarke-Lowes, himself like Tony a founder of PSC, were thrown out for ‘anti-Semitism’ and ‘Holocaust denial’ with the implication that they were some kind of Nazis.

They were nothing of the sort, even if their criticisms of Zionism, Jewish racism and the power of the Israel lobby were often confused and flawed. In a panic at the PSC being associated with such views, Tony, others with similar views on the Jewish left and some non-Jewish supporters made a bloc with the bureaucratic-opportunist deep-entrist group Socialist Action to purge PSC of these heresies.

Never mind that some of the heresies were illusory and historically wrong, this was a bureaucratic, opportunist non-solution to a political problem. The main one being that 70 years of ruthless exploitation of the crimes of the Nazis against Jewish people, by supporters of Israel’s own crimes against the Palestinians, have led to intelligent, humane, anti-racist people doubting, or even tentatively denying, Nazi crimes in the mid-20th Century.

That a layer of militant Jewish anti-racists and others around them doubt the historicity or magnitude of the Nazi Holocaust is completely comprehensible. It is the tip of a very large iceberg of such sentiments in the Arab and Muslim world, which they reflect. Many Palestinian and Arab/Muslim leaders, reacting to popular sentiment, have expressed similar views, including Nasser, Abbas, Ahmedinejad, some Hamas and Hizbullah leaders, and others too numerous to list.

Tony Greenstein’s call for a bloc with the Islamic Human Rights Commission, which organises the Al Quds march, is somewhat in contradiction to this. As the kind of sentiments PSC purged itself of under Tony’s whip earlier are legion in the IHRC. For example, in January 2019, the Campaign Against Anti-Semitism failed in an attempt to force the CPS to prosecute IHRC leader Nazim Ali for remarks that imply that the Grenfell Tower disaster was the responsibility of Zionists.

The Tory blogger Guido Fawkes claims that Gilad Atzmon also blamed the Grenfell Tower disaster on ‘Jerusalemites’ which the Tory reptile blog implies is synonymous with Jews, though for Atzmon these are distinct, though related concepts. ‘Jerusalemites’
are for him people who follow precepts instead of reason; in this case the precepts of neo-liberalism and privatization which he associates, with a limited but real degree of justification, with Zionism and the rise of the authority of bourgeois Jews among the ruling class.

**Purges that Crippled PSC**

Tony Greenstein was expelled from the Labour Party for outspoken and undoubtedly sincere anti-Zionism. For that he deserves solidarity. However he also played a reactionary role over the earlier witchhunt against ‘Atzmonites’ and bears responsibility for the state of affairs in PSC – he encouraged the would-be bureaucrats to purge the flawed ‘Atzmonite’ radicals and hence helped them to power. In standing against them and voicing these criticisms he is implicitly condemning his previous role – even if he is not fully aware of this.

Tony was prominent in purging Socialist Fight from Labour Against the Witchhunt a year ago for our analysis of Zionism, which notes that the power of Zionism to suppress criticism, even within bourgeois politics, in the advanced countries is in large measure due to the disproportionate representation of bourgeois Jews (who are mainly Zionist) in the Western ruling classes, which in turn gives them disproportionate social power to get their way politically. Christian Zionists, and the like, to which Tony wrongly gives a primary role in the Zionist lobby, are in fact fellow travellers of that Jewish Zionist caste within the ruling class. If that caste did not exist, the Christian Zionists could not exist either.

This analysis is solidly built on material fact, and the core of it was effectively endorsed last summer by Norman Finkelstein, probably the most prominent of all Anti-Zionists of Jewish origin, in his essay *Corbyn Mania*. Our purging was related to the purging of the Atzmon supporters from PSC, though this time he was purging not holocaust-doubters and those associated with them, but Marxists analysing material facts whose veracity even he could not deny (we were therefore denounced for not ignoring them). To try to hide this, we were somewhat hysterically accused of being Atzmon ‘supporters’ when it is obvious that our views differ with Atzmon’s idealism rather substantially.

What the purges had in common was an element of Jewish communalism and paternalism. Greenstein claims that in doing this he was ‘protecting’ PSC and thereby the Pales-
tinians from being associated with so-called ‘anti-Semitism’, which in the main was in fact not racism at all but an emotional response with a political manifestation to decades of Zionist lobbying and the cynical, mendacious exploitation of the Nazi holocaust to justify Zionist crimes. In fact it was not protecting the movement at all. It crippled PSC.

So in a sense, Tony is surveying the results of his own work, and he does not like what he sees. Of course it is not his own personal work, but that of a whole layer of Bundist-influenced leftists and the left-groups they influenced or browbeat into going along with this, most notably the SWP who capitulated over the Atzmon issue. Adding weight to the scandalisation of the SWP, in particular, was the social weight of the Zionists and the Blairite pro-war media, bloggers etc. who provided the chorus.

But in however a contradictory way, Tony is resisting this. This is shown dramatically over the issue of Chris Williamson being witchhunted and forced to apologise for signing a petition in defence of Gilad Atzmon’s right to play for the Blockheads in December, when he was banned from playing by the Labour Council in Islington, after a complaint by the UK Director of Likud no less!

It is richly ironic that the only Marxist trends or individuals who have not only defended Gilad Atzmon’s right to play his music in Islington, but also criticised Chris Williamson for his apology for endorsing that petition, are Socialist Fight and Tony Greenstein. No one else has done so. We note that Tony’s article (http://azvsas.blogspot.com/2018/12/banning-gilad-atzmon-from-playing-
jazz.html) arguing this has not been published in the *Weekly Worker*, who content themselves with defending the ‘free speech’ of the Zionist-fascist James Goddard instead of Gilad Atzmon. What this signifies to them is unclear.

But these recent events, in their logic, provide a principled basis for us to call for critical support for Tony Greenstein’s bid to become PSC Secretary.
Leon Trotsky: I am confident of the victory of the Fourth International; Go Forward!